

**THE EDDY CURRENT MULTIPOLES OF THE  
BOOSTER VACUUM CHAMBER**

**AD  
BOOSTER TECHNICAL NOTE  
NO. 153**

**S. Y. LEE and S. KAHN**

**NOVEMBER 16, 1989**

**ACCELERATOR DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT  
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY  
UPTON, NEW YORK 11973**

Booster Project  
Alternating Gradient Synchrotron Department  
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY  
Associated Universities, Inc.  
Upton, New York 11973

Booster Project  
Technical Note

No. 153

## **THE EDDY CURRENT MULTIPOLES OF THE BOOSTER VACUUM CHAMBER**

S. Y. Lee and S. Kahn

### Abstract

The multipole coefficient of the Booster vacuum chamber is calculated based on the Booster conceptual design configuration. We found that the multipole coefficient are not negligible for large  $\dot{B}$  operation.

## 1. Introduction

The eddy current multipole coefficients of the Booster vacuum chamber has been calculated with PE2D program earlier by Morgan and Kahn<sup>1</sup>. Since the proposed vacuum chamber geometry in AGS Booster is different from that of Morgan and Kahn's calculation, it is worthwhile to recalculate these multipole coefficients.

Recently, an analytic method for calculating the multipole coefficient has been developed<sup>2</sup>, we can calculate the higher multipole reliably. However, we shall also compare the analytic calculation with that of numerical result of PE2D.

## 2. Multipoles and the Radius of Convergence

The proposed Booster vacuum chamber geometry is shown in Fig. 1. The operational parameter is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ B/B &= 25/\text{sec} \\ \sigma^{-1} &= 1.28 \mu\Omega\text{m} \\ h &= 0.002 \text{ m} \end{aligned}$$

where  $h$  and  $\sigma$  are thickness of vacuum wall and conductivity of Inconel respectively.

The multipole coefficient can be calculated analytically by integrating the multipole coefficient of reference 2 along the vacuum chamber wall. At the same time, we also calculate these multipole coefficients by using the numerical integrator of PE2D. Table 1 lists the multipole coefficients.

**Table 1 Eddy Current Multipole Coefficients**

n	$b_n[m^{-n}]$	
	Analytic	PE2D
0	$-6.025 \times 10^{-3}$	$-6.22 \times 10^{-3}$
2	0.5038	0.5175
4	4.8133	7.542
6	$-5.9830 \times 10^3$	$-6.00 \times 10^3$
8	$2.1705 \times 10^6$	$3.20 \times 10^5$ *
10	$-1.2692 \times 10^9$	
12	$3.6064 \times 10^{11}$	
14	$-2.1826 \times 10^{14}$	
16	$1.3680 \times 10^{17}$	
18	$-8.8354 \times 10^{19}$	

\* To obtain reliable  $b_8$  in the numerical calculation, the mesh size should be decreased.

The multipole coefficients in Table 1 is much larger than that obtained in Reference 1 mainly due to the different vacuum chamber geometry.

Figure 2 shows the exact  $\Delta B(x)/B_0$  vs  $\Delta B_N(x)/B_0$ , obtain from the multipole expansion, i.e.,

$$\frac{\Delta B_N(x)}{B_0} = \sum_{n=0}^N b_n x^n$$

The multipole expansion is thus valid only up to  $x = 45$  mm. However, off  $x$  axis, in the  $x, y$ , plane, the field must be rich in harmonics. We expect, therefore, the dynamical aperture would be about 45 mm.

### 3. Shape Dependence of Multipole Coefficients

The multipole coefficients is a delicate contribution of various part of the currents. In Table 2, we compare the multipole coefficients of the shapes of the Booster polygon, ellipse and rectangle with width  $\pm 3.25$ " and height  $\pm 1.375$ ". We found that the multipole for the ellipse and rectangle have substantially smaller multipole.

Figure 3 compares the exact field shapes with  $\Delta B_N = 10(x)/B_0$ . We note that the smaller multipole content of the elliptical and rectangular geometry is reflected to have larger radius of convergence.

**Table 2 Multipole Coefficients of Different Shape Vacuum Chamber**

n	$b_n[m^{-n}]^*$		
	Booster Polygon	Ellipse	Rectangle
0	$-6.025 \times 10^{-3}$	$-4.884 \times 10^{-3}$	$-7.170 \times 10^{-3}$
2	0.5038	0.4849	0.5738
4	4.8133	-16.056	-9.937
6	$-5.9830 \times 10^3$	$2.487 \times 10^2$	$-1.836 \times 10^3$
8	$2.171 \times 10^6$	$7.412 \times 10^4$	$-1.563 \times 10^5$
10	$-1.269 \times 10^9$	$2.341 \times 10^6$	$-1.708 \times 10^6$
12	$3.606 \times 10^{11}$	$8.324 \times 10^7$	$6.323 \times 10^8$

\* All vacuum chamber have the same height and width.

#### 4. Conclusion

The Booster vacuum chamber geometry may create nonlinear field which limits the dynamical aperture in the large  $\dot{B}$  operation mode. The elliptical vacuum chamber seems to be the best choice for fast cycling synchrotrons.

#### References:

1. G. Morgan and S. Kahn, Booster Tech. Note #4, January 28, 1986.
2. S. Y. Lee, "Multipole Expansion", Acc. Phys. Tech. Note #12, AD/AP/TN-12.




Fig. 1





Fig. 2






Fig. 3